

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/670,952	2 09/25/2003		Roland L. Schafer JR.	7784-000609 7068		
27572	7590	01/03/2006		EXAMINER		
	•	Y & PIERCE,	HOLZEN, STEPHEN A			
P.O. BOX 82 BLOOMFIE		S, MI 48303	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		*		3644		

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/670,952	SCHAFER ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Stephen A. Holzen	3644					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	.L	correspondence address					
Period fo	• •	VIC CET TO EVOIDE AMONTH	(C) OR THERTY (20) DAVO					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is not reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 C	october 2005.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,8-10,13 and 15-19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-3,8-10,13 and 15-19</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)□	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- : :						
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	; Action of form PTO-132.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).					
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority document		San Na					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority							
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Burea 		ed in this National Stage					
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	ed.					
Attachme	···	_	<u>~</u>					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D						
3) 🔲 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PT0/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's amendments filed 10/11/2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-3, 8-10, 13, 15-19 under 112 1st and 2nd have been fully considered and in light of these amendments the previous rejections have been withdrawn. However, applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection in view of Brady Jr. et al (6,973,479).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) The invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 8-10, 13, 15-19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Brady Jr. et al (6,973,479).

Brady teaches a CSS for use in a mobile platform having a plurality audio subsystem and a plurality of lighting system (2155, 2120) a crew interface subsystem (1100), inherently the management terminal (1100) and the AV controller have a controller for outputting signals to the subsystems, and zone modules (area distribution boxes 2150), and a plurality of dedicated switched

data busses (1500 & 200), where the busses comprise 100 Base T Ethernet cables (see figure 3), wherein the zone switching modules are configured in any networking series that would make sense (see Col. 9, lines 1-3, including star and series networks). Brady inherently discloses, "look up tables" (which are nothing more than a data structure that looks up the state of a subsystem, determines if information is being transmitted, from where, and to whom).

Re – Claims 18 and 19: Where Brady teaches the CSS, the operation thereof is also disclosed.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3644

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen A. Holzen whose telephone number is 571-272-6903. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 571-272-7045. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sah

TERI PHAM LUU SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER